

# Patient, sufferer, victim, casualty or person with cervical myelopathy: let us decide our identifier

Timothy F Boerger <sup>1</sup>, Benjamin M Davies,<sup>2</sup> Iwan Sadler,<sup>3</sup> Ellen Sarewitz,<sup>4</sup> Mark R N Kotter,<sup>2,5</sup> On behalf of the RECODE-DCM Steering Committee

**To cite:** Boerger TF, Davies BM, Sadler I, *et al.* Patient, sufferer, victim, casualty or person with cervical myelopathy: let us decide our identifier. *Integrated Healthcare Journal* 2020;2:e000023. doi:10.1136/ihj-2019-000023

Received 17 December 2019  
Revised 03 May 2020  
Accepted 21 May 2020

## ABSTRACT

Among biomedical journals, person-first language is considered preferable to identity person-first language. However, not all populations of people with certain medical diagnoses, such as deafness, prefer person-first language. Moreover, adherence to person-first language is poor among the literature on some neurological diagnoses. Therefore, it is most appropriate to consult with people with a given diagnosis regarding their preferred identifier. Here, we describe a consensus process undertaken by the REsearch objectives and COMmon Data Elements for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy (RECODE-DCM, [recode-dcm.com](http://recode-dcm.com)) steering committee members with cervical myelopathy to determine our preferred identifier.

The choice of language is important for effective communication, especially in healthcare. However, language by itself, for example, to denominate a group, can impact health outcomes.<sup>1</sup>

‘Person-first’ or ‘person-centred’ language refers to communication that acknowledges the individual first, followed by a descriptor of the condition or disability. It contrasts with ‘identity-first’ and/or ‘disease-first’ language, which highlight the condition.

Person-first language is advocated by many leading healthcare organisations, particularly in the context of disabilities, such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK).<sup>2</sup> However, certain groups, such as the ‘deaf’ community, prefer identity-first terminology, as they consider deafness a description and not a disability.<sup>3</sup>

Consequently, as part of AOSpine RECODE-DCM ([www.recode-dcm.com](http://www.recode-dcm.com)), an international, multistakeholder consensus project to improve research efficiency we sought to confirm an appropriate identifier. This was left at the discretion of the eight members with DCM (six female, average age 56 and length of symptoms 6 years). Terms were suggested and/or opposed via email correspondence (summarised in [table 1](#)). Discussion quickly focused on

person-first language, with eventual unopposed consensus for ‘Person with Cervical Myelopathy’. The term degenerative was dropped, but cervical retained, in order to offer a more concise description with sufficient specificity. The term was agreed by the full steering committee and adopted for AOSpine RECODE-DCM.

The readiness to adopt person-first language appears variable,<sup>1</sup> particularly in the scientific literature.<sup>3</sup> This is despite its inclusion in numerous scientific style guides, including the American Medical Association Manual of Style.<sup>4</sup> For example, despite the fact that persons with multiple sclerosis have adopted person-first language, of the 50 most highly cited papers of the past 5 years from Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics, USA), only 44% used person-first language.

The reason for this is unclear. However, it was interesting to note that despite our prior agreements, professionals drafting articles for early objectives of AOSpine RECODE-DCM, inadvertently returned to referring to individuals by their diagnosis or disability rather than using person-first language.

This topic has received contrasting commentary over the last 20 years.<sup>5</sup> However, in an era of increasing user engagement in research design, conduct and consumption, we encourage community consultation on terminology and its consistent adoption, not just in ‘patient’ conversation, but throughout practice and research.

This is now the case for AOSpine RECODE-DCM.

**Twitter** Iwan Sadler @iwansadler

**Acknowledgements** The authors would also like to acknowledge the rest of the RECODE-DCM Steering committee including: Evangeline ‘Paige’ Howard, Delphine Houghton, Julia Carter, Margot Miller, Jillian Polasik, Shirley Widdop, Theresa Brislin, Carla Salzman, Lindsay Tetreault, Michelle L. Starkey, Geno J Merli, Katherine Palmieri, Bizhan Aarabi, James Harrop, Jefferson R Wilson, Angus G.K. McNair, Sukhvinder Kalsi-Ryan, Robert Chen,



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Physical Therapy, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>2</sup>Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, UK

<sup>3</sup>Myelopathy.org, Cambridge, UK

<sup>4</sup>The Goffin Consultancy, Canterbury, UK

<sup>5</sup>Welcome Trust-Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

## Correspondence to

Mr Timothy F Boerger;  
[timothy.boerger@marquette.edu](mailto:timothy.boerger@marquette.edu)

**Table 1** Terms suggested by committee members with cervical myelopathy (n=8)

Term	N times suggested
Person with cervical myelopathy	6
Individual with cervical myelopathy	2
Patient with cervical myelopathy	1
Cervical myelopathy patient	1
Terms spoken against Sufferer	Rationale (if given)
Victim	
Patient	Only temporarily applicable (hopefully) Not reflective of 'living life' Carries connotation of disempowerment

James Milligan, Julio C Furlan, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, Brian K Kwon, Shekar N Kurpad, James D Guest, Michael G.

**Collaborators** RECODE-DCM Steering Committee: Evangeline 'Paige' Howard, Delphine Houghton, Julia Carter, Margot Miller, Jillian Polasik, Shirley Widdop, Theresa Brislin, Carla Salzman, Lindsay Tetreault, Michelle L. Starkey, Geno J Merli, Katherine Palmieri., Bizhan Aarabi, James Harrop, Jefferson R Wilson, Angus G.K. McNair, Sukhvinder Kalsi-Ryan, Robert Chen, James Milligan, Julio C Furlan, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, Brian K Kwon, Shekar N Kurpad, James D Guest, Michael G. Fehlings, Armin Curt.

**Contributors** TFB: data collection, conceptualisation, manuscript review and preparation. IS: manuscript review and preparation. ES: manuscript review and preparation. BMD: conceptualisation, manuscript review and preparation. MRNK: manuscript review and conceptualisation. RECODE-DCM: manuscript review and preparation

**Funding** Research in the senior author's laboratory is supported by a core support grant from the Wellcome Trust and MRC to the Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute. MRNK is supported by a NIHR Clinician Scientist Award. This report is independent research arising from a Clinician Scientist Award, CS-2015-15-023, supported by the National Institute for Health Research. TFB was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Award Number TL1TR001437.

**Disclaimer** The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. The content is solely the responsibility of the author(s) and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iD

Timothy F Boerger <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1587-3704>

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Neuberger J. Do we need a new word for patients? *BMJ* 1999;318:1756–8.
- 2 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Talking about people. Published 2016. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/corporate/ecd1/chapter/talking-about-people> [Accessed 9/19/2019].
- 3 Crocker AF, Smith SN. Person-first language: are we practicing what we preach? *J Multidiscip Healthc* 2019;12:125–9.
- 4 Cea I. *AMA Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors - AMA Manual of Style*. 10 ed. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- 5 Gernsbacher MA. Editorial perspective: the use of person-first language in scholarly writing may accentuate stigma. *J Child Psychol Psychiatr* 2017;58:859–61.